



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/401,701	09/23/1999	CARL V. NELSON	1403-SPL	5022

7590 05/22/2002

FRANCIS A COOCH PATENT COUNSEL
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
11100 JOHNS HOPKINS ROAD
LAUREL, MD 207236099

EXAMINER

TSAI, CAROL S W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2857

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/401,701

Applicant(s)

NELSON ET AL.

Examiner

Carol S Tsai

Art Unit

2857

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 April 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,646,525 to Gilboa in view of U. S. Patent No. 3,996,590 to Hammack.

Gilboa discloses a magnetic sensor system for determining the three-dimensional position, velocity and acceleration of an object utilizing magnetic field currents, the sensor system being capable of operating within close proximity to metal surfaces and metal objects, comprising: an object, the position, velocity and acceleration of which are to be determined (see Abstract, lines 1-12; and col. 2, lines 11-16; and col. 5, line 9 to col. 7, line 51); a three-dimensional fixed reference frame of known dimensions in which the object is located with the fixed reference frame (see col. 2, lines 3-6; col. 8, lines 29-38; and col. 11, lines 43-47); a power source (current drive & oscillator 50 shown on Fig. 8) capable of generating a magnetic field within the fixed reference frame (see Abstract, lines 1-12; col. 2, lines 3-64; col. 9, line 59 to col. 10, line 12; col. 10, lines 46-62; col. 11, lines 48-51); a magnetic field transmitter, the transmitter operatively interconnected to the power source and capable of being geometrically arbitrarily

Art Unit: 2857

oriented relative to the fixed reference frame (see col. 3, lines 4-7; col. 4, lines 45-65; and col. 12, lines 44-47); at least one magnetic field receiver, the receiver capable of receiving electronic signals from the transmitter and further capable of being geometrically arbitrarily oriented related to the fixed reference frame (see col. 2, lines 44-50; col. 6, line 64 to col. 7, line 10; and col. 11, lines 60-65); and a programmed computer, the computer capable of receiving the signals from the receiver and further capable of calculating the position, velocity and acceleration of the object based upon the signals (see Abstract, lines 6-12; col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, line 38; col. 6, line 64 to col. 8, line 16; and col. 11, lines 52-59).

Gilboa does not disclose a plurality of magnetic field transmitters.

Hammack teach a plurality of magnetic field transmitters (transmitters 50 shown on Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's device to include a plurality of magnetic field transmitters, as taught by Hammack, in order that the transmitters can operate collectively and separately with various groups of receivers in order to form a variety of subsystems for the detection of targets of various characteristics and locations (Hammack, col. 20, lines 39-41).

As to claim 2, Gilboa does not disclose the power source being capable of generating AC magnetic fields.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that an electrical power source capable of generating AC magnetic fields, is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's method to include the power source being capable of generating AC magnetic fields, in order to produce the magnetic field.

As to claim 3, Gilboa also discloses the transmitter being a permanent magnet (permanent magnet 63 shown on Fig. 9B).

As to claim 4, Gilboa also discloses the receivers being Hall effect sensors (see col. 2, lines 44-50 and col. 4, lines 51-61).

As to claims 5 and 7, Gilboa also discloses the sensor system being capable of recording individual receiver signals at high speed (see col. 6, line 64 to col. 7, line 10).

As to claim 6, Gilboa also discloses the sensor system being capable of being self-calibrating (see col. 7, lines 21-26).

4. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,646,525 to Gilboa in view of 5,307,072 to Jones, Jr. and U. S. Patent No. 3,996,590 to Hammack.

Gilboa discloses a method for determining the position, velocity and acceleration of an object, comprising: providing a three dimensional fixed reference frame of known dimensions (see col. 2, lines 3-6; col. 8, lines 29-38; and col. 11, lines 43-47); providing an object, the position, velocity and acceleration of which are to be measured (see Abstract, lines 1-12; and col. 2, lines 11-16; and col. 5, line 9 to col. 7, line 51); generating electrical current from an oscillator and generating a magnetic field from the transmitter in the reference frame (see Abstract, lines 1-12; col. 2, lines 3-64; col. 9, line 59 to col. 10, line 12; col. 10, lines 46-62; col. 11, lines 48-51);

Art Unit: 2857

receiving the magnetic field signal from the transmitter into at least one receiver (see col. 2, lines 44-50; col. 6, line 64 to col. 7, line 10; and col. 11, lines 60-65); and applying a mathematical algorithm to calculate the position, velocity and acceleration of the object (see Abstract, lines 6-12; col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, line 38; col. 6, line 64 to col. 8, line 16; and col. 11, lines 52-59).

Gilboa does not disclose a plurality of magnetic field transmitters.

Hammack teach a plurality of magnetic field transmitters (transmitters 50 shown on Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's device to include a plurality of magnetic field transmitters, as taught by Hammack, in order that the transmitters can operate collectively and separately with various groups of receivers in order to form a variety of subsystems for the detection of targets of various characteristics and locations (Hammack, col. 20, lines 39-41).

Gilboa does not disclose delivering the current from the oscillator to a power amplifier; directing the amplified current from the amplifier to a plurality of transmitters; demodulating and amplifying the received magnetic field signal into magnetic field components from the receiver signal in which the output from the amplifier is proportion to the magnetic field components; and applying a mathematical filter to the demodulated and amplified signal.

Jones, Jr. teaches delivering the current from the oscillator to a power amplifier; directing the amplified current from the amplifier to a plurality of transmitters; demodulating and amplifying the received magnetic field signal into magnetic field components from the receiver signal in which the output from the amplifier is proportion to the magnetic field components; and

Art Unit: 2857

applying a mathematical filter to the demodulated and amplified signal (see Fig. 1 and col. 3, line 30 to col. 4, line 62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's method to include delivering the current from the oscillator to a power amplifier; directing the amplified current from the amplifier to a plurality of transmitters; demodulating and amplifying the received magnetic field signal into magnetic field components from the receiver signal in which the output from the amplifier is proportion to the magnetic field components; and applying a mathematical filter to the demodulated and amplified signal, as taught by Jones, Jr., in order that the output of the synchronous demodulator goes through a low pass filter which smoothes the signal providing a DC output proportional to the received signal component (Jones, Jr. col. 4, lines 41-44).

As to claim 10, Gilboa does not disclose the power source being capable of generating AC magnetic fields.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that an electrical power source capable of generating AC magnetic fields, is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's method to include the power source being capable of generating AC magnetic fields, in order to produce the magnetic field.

As to claim 11, Gilboa also discloses the mathematical algorithm mathematically modeling the transmitters as dipoles, the algorithm further uses total field and vector magnetic field mathematically components to calculates the three-dimensional position of the object (see

Art Unit: 2857

Abstract, lines 6-12; col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, line 38; col. 6, line 10 to col. 8, line 16; and col. 11, lines 52-59).

As to claim 12, Gilboa does not disclose placing calibrated magnetic field receivers at a known location in an uncalibrated transmitter geometry in which the algorithm determines the location of the transmitter in the fixed reference frame.

Jones, Jr. teaches placing calibrated magnetic field receivers at a known location in an uncalibrated transmitter geometry in which the algorithm determines the location of the transmitter in the fixed reference frame (see col. 2, lines 41-52 and col. 7, lines 28-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's method to include placing calibrated magnetic field receivers at a known location in an uncalibrated transmitter geometry in which the algorithm determines the location of the transmitter in the fixed reference frame, as taught by Jones, Jr., in order to compensate either the sensed field data or the position and orientation solution data (Jones, Jr. col. 2, lines 51-52).

As to claim 13, Gilboa does not disclose expressly the algorithm mathematically averages the signals from the receivers.

It is, however, considered inherent that Gilboa includes the algorithm mathematically averaging the signals from the receivers (see Abstract, lines 6-12; col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, line 38; col. 6, line 64 to col. 8, line 16; and col. 11, lines 52-59), because such calculating can be provided by the controller is known to be a necessary function in order that the requested mathematical processing can be performed.

As to claim 14, Gilboa also discloses the algorithm mathematically treating eddy currents generated in metal surface and objects nearby the transmitter as virtual magnetic field transmitter, the algorithm further calculating the position and orientation of the virtual field transmitter (see Figs. 1, 2, and 6; Abstract, lines 6-12; col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, line 38; col. 6, line 64 to col. 8, line 16; and col. 11, lines 52-59).

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilboa in view of Hammack as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,307,072 to Jones, Jr.

As noted above, Gilboa in combination with Hammack teach all the features of the claimed invention, but do not disclose the transmitters being capable of generating frequencies in the range of 20-100 KHz.

Jones, Jr. teaches the transmitters being capable of generating frequencies in the range of 20-100 KHz (see col. 3, lines 30-34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa in combination with Hammack's method to include the transmitters being capable of generating frequencies in the range of 20-100 KHz, as taught by Jones, Jr., in order to diminish the noise to signal ratio.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 04/29/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2857

Applicants argue that Applicants' invention uses a plurality of magnetic field transmitters and determines position, velocity and acceleration of an object using magnetic field currents, but Hammack, used by the Examiner in combination with Gilboa to reject claims 1-7, has nothing to do with magnetic fields and everything to do with the use of doppler to measure position (see col. S, lines 45-48). The Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth, Gilboa does disclose **a magnetic field transmitter**, the transmitter operatively interconnected to the power source and capable of being geometrically arbitrarily oriented relative to the fixed reference frame (see col. 3, lines 4-7; col. 4, lines 45-65; and col. 12, lines 44-47). Gilboa does not disclose a plurality of magnetic field transmitters; however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gilboa's sole transmitter to include Hammack's transmitters in order to duplicate or multiply their functions is well known in the art. The combination of Gilboa and Hammack clearly teaches the claimed invention.

Applicants argue that Applicants can find nothing in the entire Gilboa reference that discloses treating eddy currents generated in metal surfaces as virtual magnetic field transmitters as recited in claim 14. The Examiner disagrees with Applicants. Eddy current, a circulating current induced in a conducting material by a varying magnetic field, often parasitic in nature. Fig. 6 shown on Gilboa's reference is a schematic diagram showing a typical electromagnetic field plot of a rotating dipole field in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention; therefore, Gilboa does disclose treating eddy currents generated in metal surfaces as virtual magnetic field transmitters.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703) 308-1677. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 308-7382. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 308-7382. This practice may be

Art Unit: 2857

used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. Tsai

05/18/02


MARC S. HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800